Interview Summary	10/539,512	FRALEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BINTA M. ROBINSON	1625	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>BINTA M. ROBINSON</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Attorney Nicole Beeler</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>16 July 2009</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representat	tive]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h) ⊠] N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: <i>The attorney agreed to</i> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amel allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	the examiner's amendment. ndments which the examiner copy of the amendments that	agreed would rend	er the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INT requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	ACTION MUST INCLUDE T ne last Office action has alrea R OF ONE MONTH OR THIF ITERVIEW SUMMARY FORI	idy been filed, APP RTY DAYS FROM 1 M, WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS S LATER, TO
/Binta M Robinson/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625			

Application No.

Applicant(s)